

SECTION 1

**CURRENT ISSUES OF CONSTITUTIONAL
AND LEGAL STATUS OF HUMAN AND CITIZEN**

UDC 340.11.3

DOI <https://doi.org/10.24144/2663-5399.2021.1.01>

**DEVELOPMENT OF THEORETICAL AND LEGAL APPROACHES TO UNDERSTANDING THE
ESSENCE OF SOMATIC HUMAN RIGHTS IN THE PROCESS OF BIOMEDICAL RESEARCH**

Dmytro Bielov,

*Professor of the Department of Constitutional Law and Comparative Law
Uzhhorod national University
Doctor of Juridical Science, Full professor,
ORCID 0000-0002-7168-9488
belov_dimon@yahoo.com*

Myroslava Hromovchuk,

*Associate Professor of the Department of Constitutional Law and Comparative Law
Uzhhorod National University.
Candidate of Law, Associate Professor
ORCID 0000-0003-2077-2342
myroslavabelova@gmail.com*

.....

Summary

It is pointed out that theoretical ideas about the relationship between man and the field of biomedical research inevitably affect the coverage of aspects that are not reduced to the subjects of constitutional and legal regulation. Accordingly, it was methodologically unjustified to limit the idea of realization of somatic rights of citizens only to the analysis of the norms of constitutions. The essence of the implementation of somatic rights of citizens in the process of biomedical research as effective elements in the development of society and in accordance with one category of constitutional law determines the need to review them from the standpoint of ontology, epistemology and axiology of rights. Thus, studies of these complex relationships do not take into account that they determine approaches to their knowledge, explore the order and principles of their implementation and protection, socio-legal “existence”, analyze the value of political and legal image.

Certainly, in order to create a reliable modern scientific foundation for understanding the essence of somatic human rights in the process of biomedical research, it is advisable to influence historical excursions in the specialty that studies a particular legal issue, constitutional and legal foundations of individual somatic rights, , on the basis of and formulated previously existing and current legislation. This will exclude certain trends in the development of both legal doctrine and rule-making activities, the results of which are a thorough improvement of current legislation of Ukraine, including codified content, solve certain problems while opening ways to solve them in different historical periods to avoid in the future. negative and borrow positive experiences.

The authors argue, based on the analysis of theorists of state and rights, constitution-
alists, natives who are involved in the study of somatic human rights, religious scholars
who can achieve the result that the historiography of somatic human rights in biomedical
research in the broad scientific field of knowledge development of constitutional and legal
science and its regularities; in the narrow sense, it is a set of works on various problems of
the history of modern constitutionalism, human rights, the influence of religion on human
rights and the mechanism of their implementation and protection in a certain historical
period.

At the same time, the *aim of the work* is to study the constitutional and legal principles
and the influence of various factors on the mechanism of realization of somatic rights in the
process of biomedical research.

The *methodological basis of the study* was the worldview dialectical, general scientific
and specific scientific methods of cognition of the phenomena of state and legal reality.
Thus, in particular, formal-logical methods of analysis and synthesis allowed to reveal the
content of the concepts that make up the subject of research, to classify them, as well as to
formulate intermediate and general conclusions. The systematic method allowed to study
the role and significance of somatic human rights among other human and civil rights and
freedoms. Using the historical method, the doctrinal basis of the study was analyzed, and
the main stages of the formation of biomedical research with human participation were
identified. The structural-functional method allowed to clarify the internal structure of the
mechanism of constitutional and legal support of somatic rights and freedoms of man and
citizen in the process of biomedical research, as well as to determine the functional pur-
pose of each element of this mechanism. The content of legal regulations governing social
relations, within which the organization and functioning of the mechanism of protection of
somatic human rights in the process of biomedical research, was revealed using a special
legal method of cognition. The comparative method made it possible to identify similar
features and differences in the constitutional and legal regulation of the mechanism of pro-
tection of somatic human rights in the process of conducting biomedical research.

Key words: human rights and freedoms, fourth generation human rights, somatic hu-
man rights, historiography, legal doctrine, constitutionalism.

.....

1. Introduction

In order to create a reliable modern scien-
tific foundation for understanding the essence of
somatic human rights in the process of biomed-
ical research, it is advisable to digress into the
specifics of the study of a legal issue of consti-
tutional and legal principles of consolidation of
individual somatic rights. previous and current
legislation. This will highlight certain trends
in the development of both legal doctrine and
rule-making activities, the result of which is a
radical improvement of current legislation of
Ukraine, including codified content, to outline
certain issues while clarifying ways to solve them
in different historical periods. to avoid negative
in the future and borrow positive experiences.
Taking into account the historical experience of
doctrinal research of certain legal phenomena,

according to V. Kolpakov, allows to form a per-
fect modern scientific basis for improving the
constitutional and legal status of a legal institute.
(Kolpakov, 2009, p. 62).

2. 2. Theoretical approaches to the category "legal doctrine".

Historiographical analysis as a scien-
tific method of historical research, L. Berezivska
rightly points out, has become widespread in his-
torical and legal research. Today, historiography
in historical science has become a separate scien-
tific field and is included in the list of disciplines
studied by future historians. At the same time,
the application of the historiographical method
in historical and legal works is accompanied by
a number of problems: unpreparedness of re-
searchers to apply the historiographical method,

as the vast majority of them have no historical education; misunderstanding of the importance and necessity of historiographical analysis; the absence of a historiographical section in some dissertations, which reduces the probability of novelty of the study, etc. It should be noted, the scientist writes, that historiographical analysis allows to identify unexplored or little-studied scientific problems, to concentrate research efforts around them, provides relevance and theoretical significance of research, in addition, the application of this method is a manifestation of researcher culture. Historiographical analysis, which involves the study of historians in the field of law, differs from source analysis, which focuses on primary sources (Berezivska, 2018, p. 5).

Creating a historiography of any field of knowledge, say V. Chernysh and V. Stepanenko, is an indicator of the maturity of a science, a necessary element of its self-awareness: following the historical development of the institute, scientists have the opportunity to better understand its current state, problems and contradictions. Therefore, it is natural that as the science of human rights develops, interest in its history grows, and the emergence of historiographical works is evidence of this. (Chernysh, Stepanenko, 2010, p. 5).

History and methodology of legal science, according to E. Yarkova – a new discipline for the system of domestic legal education. Its appearance is due to a number of reasons. One of the main reasons can be considered a radical, in comparison with the scientific revolution, a change in the paradigmatic foundations of domestic jurisprudence. The essence of this change can be defined as a transition from the monistic model of legal science, in which historical and dialectical materialism qualified as the only truly scientific theory and methodology, and the history of science emerged as the history of the Marxist-Leninist scientific paradigm; to a pluralistic model based on the idea of theoretical and methodological diversity and the idea that the way to create a true theory and methodology of legal science lies through the study of the history of this science (Yarkova, 2012, p. 7).

Historical and legal knowledge is the basis of modern and future legal culture, provides coordinates and tools for orientation in the problems of jurisprudence. Accordingly, the modern

historian in the field of legal knowledge must be clearly aware of the commonalities and differences between historiography and the source base of research. Therefore, a necessary component of the professional training and skills of a historian of law is the scientific organization and high culture of elaboration and use of historiographical works and sources. Helplessness in their practical use has a negative impact not only on the quality of research, but also on the effectiveness of scientific activity in general. A historian in the field of law must be able to find the necessary historiographical works and sources, thoroughly research and correctly interpret them, objectively assess the level of reliability and information capabilities of relevant documents, is have the skills of scientific criticism. (Petrenko, 2018, p. 11).

Source studies, notes O. Petrenko, has its own specific subject and uses a special method of cognition of objective reality. The main task of source studies is to study cultural objects as sources of information about people and society (Petrenko, 2018, p. 11). At the same time, the basis of source studies in the field of human rights is the understanding of the source of law as a product of purposeful human activity, as a phenomenon of legal culture. In turn, writes O. Petrenko, it focuses on the systematic study of sources, to appeal to works of culture created in the process of human activity, which reflected the social, psychological, managerial, pedagogical and other aspects of society and personality, power and law, morality, motives and stereotypes of human behavior in certain conditions. Sources contain the full amount of social, political, cultural, historical and pedagogical, etc. information, which serves as a basis for obtaining new factual knowledge (Petrenko, 2018, p. 11). Thus, in the study of somatic human rights and the mechanism of their implementation in biomedical research, it is important not so much the interpretation of the content of the text, as the interpretation of the source as a phenomenon of legal culture.

Comparative analysis of the disciplinary historiography of the Institute of Somatic Human Rights (here we fully share the opinion of T. Demetradze) contribute to a better vision of the whole block of legal disciplines, their hierarchy in the legal science system, in the humanities in general, overcoming “disciplinary barriers”.

In the scientific activity of lawyers, the ability to predict transformation processes, search for different options for interdisciplinary and multidisciplinary synthesis in the specialization of legal research on the institute of somatic human rights and the mechanism of their implementation in biomedical research (Demetradze, 2015, p. 34).

The history of legal science, writes T. Popova, in this case has a special place, because it acts not only as a "means of analysis" of the disciplinary history of the whole "family" of legal disciplines, but also plays on the very system of legal professional knowledge. "Integrative role". The disciplinary jurisprudence of the history of legal science, taking into account its national and regional specifics helps to identify the typological diversity of its disciplinary images in the system of European and world legal practices, to provide a clearer understanding of the structure of reflective disciplines, development of optimal principles including at the stage of its disciplinary development. That is why, the scientist notes, the creation of "cartography" of historiographical disciplinary legal traditions in order to find their place in legal science will contribute to identification stability, improving the paradigmatic foundations of the "historiographical basis", strengthening the epistemological status of the legal institution (Popova, 2008, p. 233).

According to O. Mikhno, the study requires systematization of sources not on a chronological or typical basis, but on the nature of the reflection of legal reality in them. Carrying out such historical and legal research, it is necessary to clearly distinguish the main processes. Thus, official documents, recommendations, instructions, dissertations, monographs, etc. reflect mainly theoretical and legal and partly educational and methodological aspects. But the result of this study - the actual research - draws up a scientist in the form of an official document. Therefore, invisible in the flow of officialdom, but the most interesting side - the internal - is presented in the texts themselves, which are the result of study and are of particular research interest. (Mikhno, 2018, p. 17).

3. The concept of "historiography of somatic human rights"

Polysemantism of the concept of "historiography of somatic human rights" involves

the concretization of the institution of somatic rights within the mechanism of protection of human and civil rights and freedoms in general, which reveals one of its possible meanings - the history of legal knowledge. In this coordinate system it is necessary to position the historiography of the Institute of Somatic Rights in the process of biomedical research as an intellectual history, which, according to T. Sidorova studies the process of understanding the historical past in space-time systems and subjective-personal perceptions: personalities, their subject of study, epistems, technologies, scientific tools in the study of the institute of notary as a body for the protection of human and civil rights and freedoms. In this case, the history of science, the scientist notes, is characterized by the function of retransmission in a concentrated form of clumps of collective memory of its past, if we mean the combined experience of understanding the "historical", reproducing images of the past, reflected in theories and concepts the seal of the individuality of their creators and the "signs" of their time. Modern qualitative research on the history of science is complex, systematic, based on an interdisciplinary approach that synthesizes the possibilities of related legal sciences (Sidorova, 2008, p. 236).

That is, as we see, the history of the formation and development of the mechanism of realization and protection of somatic human rights is an integral part of the historical and legal process. The study of positive experiences in this field, which has deep historical roots and is closely linked to socio-economic and political processes, is important for both theory and practice. An integral element of scientific intelligence of any level and direction is a thorough source base, critical analysis and systematization of which is the primary task of a true scientist. This is what makes it possible to carry out objective and impartial research in the field of jurisprudence, as modern scholars emphasize. (Hamanko, 2018, p. 23).

If we talk about the analysis of the main sources on the subject of our study, we should first highlight the works of D. Belov, Y. Voloshin and A. Krusyan on the general theory of modern constitutionalism.

D. Bielov in his dissertation research "Paradigm of Ukrainian constitutionalism" (Bielov,

2012) highlights the features of the category paradigm of modern Ukrainian constitutionalism, taking into account the constitutional and legal realities of domestic practice. The problems of formation and development of the paradigm of modern Ukrainian constitutionalism are studied. Using a historical approach, the concept and genesis of the scientific and practical paradigm of constitutionalism are revealed. Based on the analysis of the constitutional legislation of Ukraine, judicial and administrative practice, scientific and theoretical research, the author reveals the content of constitutionalism and provides a description of its structure. The components of modern Ukrainian constitutionalism are identified and studied, in particular, it is established that the concept of "constitutional order" as the main and integrating category of the science of constitutional law, which has a more normative content. The legal properties of the norms enshrining the principles of the constitutional order are revealed. Scholars, in particular, have proved that the Constitution defines the entire paradigm of constitutional and legal relations. The legal nature of the transformation of the constitution is studied, three main ways of transforming the content of constitutional norms without changing the text of the constitutions themselves are identified. D. Bielov reveals the peculiarities of the evolution of constitutional models of power in Ukraine, defines the conceptual foundations of constitutional transit, as well as the peculiarities of constitutional reform in Ukraine as a consequence of the formation of a new paradigm of Ukrainian constitutionalism.

In our opinion, Yu. Voloshyn's work "Constitutional and Legal Support of European Interstate Integration: Problems of Theory and Practice" is interesting (Voloshyn, 2010), where scientists consider the process of formation of the constitutional and legal mechanism of integration in the context of globalization. The author pays special attention to the constitutionalization of international law, as well as its internationalization. The scholar rightly concluded that the constitutional and legal provision of integration absorbs a complex and dynamic system that encompasses norms, means and doctrine, thus enabling this process, and globalization affects even constitutionalism. The concept of "supranational constitutionalism"

is characterized as the constitutional and legal support of state participation in integration processes, and the law should set the vectors in this progressive development. The dissertation also considers the issue of transformation of sovereignty in modern conditions, which is related to political, economic and social elements.

A. Krusian in his dissertation "Modern Ukrainian constitutionalism: theory and practice" (Krusian, 2010) for the first time proposed the periodization of the genesis of the scientific and practical paradigm of constitutionalism, its development and formation. Analyzed the constitutional and legal freedom of man, his protection, turning to protection from the state and protection by the state itself (this is important when analyzing the admissibility of state interference in the life of the individual), and proposed a functional mechanism of modern Ukrainian constitutionalism.

An important part of our research has been work in the field of somatic rights. At the same time, it is necessary to single out such scientists as V. Kruss and M. Lavryk, Y. Turyanski and V. Pishta. In particular, the general approaches of somatic rights were gradually introduced into scientific circulation by the scientist V. Kruss, namely as an opportunity of the person to dispose of the body independently. His work "Theory of Constitutional Law Enforcement" is especially noteworthy. (Kruss, 2000). At the same time, we should agree with Yu. Turyanski, that the topic of somatic human rights has been the subject of research by many scientists in the post-Soviet space, but in Ukraine at present we can not state the intensification of its development (Turianskyi, 2020, p. 34).

Extremely important for our study are the works of M. Lavrik, among them, in particular, we can highlight "Guarantees of constitutional human rights (somatic aspect)" (Lavrik, 2006), where somatic human rights are analyzed through the prism of constitutional guarantees, which seems acceptable given their component composition. The author also reveals the concept of constitutional human rights, seeing under the guarantees and legal, and political, economic and spiritual components that ensure the implementation and protection of constitutional human rights, which are the basis of human ability to dispose of their bodies. It is quite thoroughly

noted that the legal guarantees of somatic (constitutional) human rights are contained in the constitution of a state and in other legislation, which can be demonstrated by the domestic example. (Turianskyi, 2020, p.34).

In our opinion, the dissertation work of Yu. Turyanskyi "Somatic human rights in the modern doctrine of constitutionalism: theoretical and legal research" is also important (Turianskyi, 2020, p. 34). In the dissertation, among other things, on the basis of the analysis of international regulations, monitoring reports, practice of foreign countries, national legislation and law enforcement practice, statistical data, author's public opinion poll, analysis of scientific doctrine the complex theoretical and legal research of somatic human rights in modern doctrine of constitutionalism is carried out. Scientists have identified the prerequisites for the emergence and further development of the group of somatic human rights: scientific and technological progress, the complementarity of scientific research, changes in social psychology and the correction of moral and ethical norms. It is proved that in the modern doctrine of constitutionalism the formation of a group of somatic rights can be traced, which does not yet have a clear scientifically formed structure. This is due, in particular, to the progress of technical capabilities in the field of human corporeality. Then the author's position on the structure of the group of somatic rights is presented and the following are singled out: the right to one's own genome; reproductive human rights; sexual human rights; the right to transplant organs, tissues, cells; the right to gender identity; the human right to modify one's body; the right to a painless death; the right to dispose of one's body and its parts after death; the right to use drugs and psychotropic substances to alleviate suffering.

Interesting, from the point of view of the subject of our research, is the work of V. Pishta "Administrative and legal regulation of transplantation in Ukraine". In his dissertation, the scientist conducted a study of administrative and legal regulation of transplantation in Ukraine. Theoretical and practical problems are determined and the directions of their improvement are worked out. The development of administrative and legal norms in the field of transplan-

tation in Ukraine is studied. Scientists focus on the period of existence of the Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic, because at this time the field of transplantation first became the object of administrative regulation, and later formed a full administrative and legal framework governing the transplantation of kidneys, lungs, intestines, gastrointestinal tract. costal cartilage, bones, as well as issues of international legal cooperation in this area. It is also important that the paper examines the case law of the European Court of Human Rights in the field of transplantation on the example of the cases "Petrova v. Latvia" and "Elberte v. Latvia". In both cases, the European Court of Human Rights found a violation of Article 8 of the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and in *Elberte v. Latvia*, a violation of Article 3 of the Convention. In a separate opinion in the case of *Petrova v. Latvia*, K. Voitishchik emphasizes that the European Court of Human Rights did not answer the question of the further role of the deceased's relatives in deciding whether or not to remove anatomical materials from the corpse donor: whether whether the relatives are autonomous subjects, or they are only expressions of the will of the deceased. This is where we see the further development of the case law of the European Court of Human Rights in the field of transplantation.

In our opinion, the work "Protection of constitutional human rights and freedoms in the process of conducting biomedical research", which we co-authored with S. Kozodaev, D. Belov and Y. Bisaga, deserves special attention (Bysaha, Belov, Hromovchuk, Kozodaiev, 2018). Thus, in particular, the paper examines the features of the essence and content of the constitutional principles of human rights as a basis for legal regulation of biomedical research. The authors reveal the essence and content of international and national legal standards for human biomedical research. Scientists have found that there are currently no standards for legal regulation of human rights in biomedical research at the national level and at the level of international instruments in this field. The monograph logically reveals the features of the content of biomedical research as an object of constitutional and legal regulation, as well as identifies the features of the constitutional and legal status

of participants in biomedical research. It is established that the terms "medical experiment", "clinical study", "clinical trial", "human experiments" are used in domestic legislation and scientific sources as single-order categories, meaning the same phenomenon, but more accurate. is the use of the term "biomedical research". Scientists have studied the limits of permissible interference in conducting biomedical research with human participation, as well as identified the ethical examination of biomedical research as a way to protect human rights. We would like to note that a significant part of the scientific material related to the actual biomedical research was used just from our joint work with the above scientists

4. Conclusions

Based on the analysis of the works of theorists of state and law, constitutionalists, scientists directly involved in the study of somatic human rights, religious scholars, we can conclude that the historiography of somatic human rights in biomedical research in a broad sense is a field of scientific knowledge. Studies the development of constitutional and legal science and its patterns; in the narrow sense, it is a set of works on various problems of the history of modern constitutionalism, human rights, the influence of religion on human rights and the mechanism of their implementation and protection in a certain historical period.

Bibliography:

1. **Колпаков, В. К.** (2009) Історіографія дослідження феномена «потерпілий в адміністративно-деліктному процесі» у вітчизняній адміністративно-правовій науці. *Вісник Запорізького національного університету: «Юридичні науки», 2*, С. 62-71.
2. **Березівська, Л. Д.** (2018) Педагогічна історіографія: стан, проблеми, виклики. Історіографія як важливий складник досліджень з історії освіти: європейський і вітчизняний виміри: зб. матеріалів наук.-методолог. семінару з історії освіти / ДНПБ України ім. В. О. Сухомлинського [редкол.: Березівська Л. Д. (голова редкол.), Лапаєнко С. В., Сухомлинська О. В., Тарнавська С. В.; літ. ред. Деревянко Т. М.]. Київ: [ДНПБ України ім. В. О. Сухомлинського], 32 с.
3. **Черниш, В. М. & Степаненко, В. Д.** (2010) До питання про історіографію вітчизняного нотаріату: історико-правовий аспект. *Юридичний радник*, 1(49), С. 5-9.
4. **Яркова, Е. Н.** (2012) История и методология юридической науки: учебное пособие. Тюмень: Издательство Тюменского государственного университета, 357 с.
5. **Петренко, О. Б.** (2018) Роль і місце навчальної дисципліни «Історіографія та джерелознавство історико-педагогічних досліджень» у підготовці доктора філософії зі спеціальності 011 «Науки про освіту». *Історіографія як важливий складник досліджень з історії освіти: європейський і вітчизняний виміри*: зб. матеріалів наук.-методолог. семінару з історії освіти / ДНПБ України ім. В. О. Сухомлинського [редкол.: Березівська Л. Д. (голова редкол.), Лапаєнко С. В., Сухомлинська О. В., Тарнавська С. В.; літ. ред. Деревянко Т. М.]. Київ: [ДНПБ України ім. В. О. Сухомлинського], 32 с.
5. **Деметрадзе, Т. Р.** (2015) Конституційний принцип рівності праїнки і чоловіка: поняття, зміст, механізм його реалізації та захисту: дис. на здоб. наук. ступ. канд. юрид. наук: спец. 12.00.02. Ужгород, 215 с.
6. **Попова, Т. Н.** (2008) Историография в контексте дисциплинарной истории. *Теории и методы исторической науки: шаг в XXI век*: Материалы научно-практической конференции (с. 232-234). Москва.
7. **Міхно, О. П.** (2018) Класифікація джерельної бази дослідження психологопедагогічної характеристики особистості учня в історії розвитку вітчизняної школи та педагогічної науки. Історіографія як важливий складник досліджень з історії освіти: європейський і вітчизняний виміри : зб. матеріалів наук.-методолог. семінару з історії освіти / ДНПБ України ім. В. О. Сухомлинського [редкол.: Березівська Л. Д. (голова редкол.), Лапаєнко С. В., Сухомлинська О. В., Тарнавська С. В.; літ. ред. Деревянко Т. М.]. Київ : [ДНПБ України ім. В. О. Сухомлинського], 32 с.
8. **Сидорова, Т. А.** (2008) Историография как интеллектуальная история: проблемы междисциплинарности и контекста. *Теории и методы исторической науки: шаг в XXI век*: Материалы научно-практической конференции (с. 236-238). Москва
9. **Гаманко, Р. Р.** (2018) Проблема розвитку вищої духовної освіти початку ХХ ст. у сучасній українській історіографії. *Історіографія як важливий складник досліджень з історії освіти: європейський і вітчизняний виміри* : зб. матеріалів наук.-методолог. семінару з історії освіти / ДНПБ України ім. В. О. Сухомлинського [редкол.: Березівська Л. Д. (голова редкол.), Лапаєнко С. В., Сухомлинська О. В., Тарнавська С. В.; літ. ред. Деревянко Т. М.]. Київ : [ДНПБ України ім. В. О. Сухомлинського], 32 с.
10. **Белов, Д. М.** (2012) Парадигма українського конституціоналізму. дис. на здоб. наук. ступ. докт. юрид. наук: спец. 12.00.02. Харків, 450 с.

11. **Волошин, Ю. О.** (2010) Конституційно-правове забезпечення європейської міждержавної інтеграції: проблеми теорії і практики: автореф. на здоб. наук. ступ. докт. юрид. наук. спец.: 12.00.02. Київ, 32 с.
12. **Крусан, А. Р.** (2010) Сучасний український конституціоналізм: теорія і практика: автореф. на здоб. наук. ступ. докт. юрид. наук. спец.: 12.00.02. Одеса, 42 с.
13. **Крусс, В. И.** (2000) Личностные («соматические») права человека в конституционном и философско-правовом измерении: к постановке проблемы. *Государство и право*, 10, С. 43–50.
14. **Турянський, Ю. І.** (2020) Соматичні права людини в сучасній доктрині конституціоналізму: теоретико-правове дослідження: дис. на здоб. наук. ступ. докт. юрид. наук. спец.: 12.00.01, Львів, 441 с.
15. **Лаврик, М. А.** (2006) Конституционные основания соматических прав человека: вопросы теории и практика зарубежных государств: вопросы конституционного права. *Сибирский юридический вестник*, 1(28), С. 44-62.
16. **Бисага, Ю. М., Белов, Д. М., Громовчук, М. В. & Козодаєв, С. П.** (2018) Захист конституційних прав і свобод людини у процесі проведення біомедичних досліджень. *Монографія*. Ужгород, 177 с.
4. **Yarkova, E. N.** (2012) *Istoriya i metodologiya yuridicheskoy nauki: uchebnoe posobie [History and methodology of legal science: textbook]*. Tyumen: Izdatelstvo Tyumenskogo gosudarstvennogo universiteta [in Russian].
5. **Petrenko, O. B.** (2018) Rol i mistse navchalnoi dystsypliny «Istoriografia ta dzhereloznavstvo istoriko-pedahohichnykh doslidzhen» u pidhotovtsi doktora filosofii zi spetsialnosti 011 «Nauky pro osvitu» [The role and place of the discipline “Historiography and source studies of historical and pedagogical research” in the preparation of a doctor of philosophy in the specialty 011 “Science of Education”]. *Historiography as an important component of research on the history of education: European and domestic dimensions: Abstracts of Papers of the International Conference / DNPB Ukrainy im. V. O. Sukhomlynskoho* [redkol.: Berezivska L. D. (holova redkol.), Lapaienko S. V., Sukhomlynska O. V., Tarnavska S. V.; lit. red. Derevianko T. M.]. Kyiv: [DNPB Ukrainy im. V. O. Sukhomlynskoho], 32 [in Ukrainian].
5. **Demetradze, T. R.** (2015) *Konstytutsiynyy pryntsyv rivnosti prainky i cholovika: poniattia, zmist, mekhanizm yoho realizatsii ta zakhystu* [The constitutional principle of equality of prainka and husband: the concept, content, mechanism of its implementation and protection]. (Candidate thesis). Uzhhorod [in Ukrainian].
6. **Popova, T. N.** (2008) Istoriografiya v kontekste distsiplinarnoy istorii [Historiography in the context of disciplinary history]. *Teorii i metody istoricheskoy nauki: shag v XXI vek*. Abstracts of Papers of the International Conference (pp. 232-234). Moscow [in Russian].
7. **Mikhno, O. P.** (2018) Klasyfikatsiia dzherelnoi bazy doslidzhenia psykholohopedahohichnoi kharakterystyky osobystosti uchnia v istorii rozvytku vitchyznianoї shkoly ta pedahohichnoi nauky [Classification of the source base for the study of psychological and pedagogical characteristics of the student's personality in the history of the national school and pedagogical science]. *Historiography as an important component of research on the history of education: European and domestic dimensions: Abstracts of Papers of the International Conference / DNPB Ukrainy im. V. O. Sukhomlynskoho* [redkol.: Berezivska L. D. (holova redkol.), Lapaienko S. V., Sukhomlynska O. V., Tarnavska S. V.; lit. red. Derevianko T. M.]. Kyiv: [DNPB Ukrainy im. V. O. Sukhomlynskoho], 32. [in Ukrainian].
8. **Sidorova, T.A.** (2008) Istoriografiya kak intellektualnaya istoriya: problemy mezhdistsiplinarnosti i konteksta [Historiography as intellectual history: problems of interdisciplinarity and context]. *Teorii i metody*

References:

1. **Kolpakov, V. K.** (2009) Istoriografia doslidzhenia fenomena «poterpilyi v administratyvno-deliktnomu protsesi» u vitchyznianiі administratyvno-pravovii nauksi [Historiography of the study of the phenomenon of «victim in the administrative-tort process» in the domestic administrative and legal science]. *Visnyk Zaporizkoho natsionalnogo universytetu: «Iurydychni nauky» - Bulletin of Zaporizhia National University: “Legal Sciences*, 2, 62-71. [in Ukrainian].
2. **Berezivska, L. D.** (2018) Pedahohichna istoriografia: stan, problemy, vyklyky. [Pedagogical historiography: state, problems, challenges]. *Historiography as an important component of research on the history of education: European and domestic dimensions: Abstracts of Papers of the International Conference / DNPB Ukrainy im. V. O. Sukhomlynskoho* [redkol.: Berezivska L. D. (holova redkol.), Lapaienko S. V., Sukhomlynska O. V., Tarnavska S. V.; lit. red. Derevianko T. M.]. Kyiv: [DNPB Ukrainy im. V. O. Sukhomlynskoho], 32. [in Ukrainian].
3. **Chernysh, V.M. & Stepanenko, V.D.** (2010) Do pytannia pro istoriografii vitchyznianoho notariatu: istoriko-pravovyi aspekt [On the question of the historiography of domestic notaries: historical and legal aspect]. *Iurydychnyi radnyk – Legal adviser*, 1(49), 5-9. [in Ukrainian].

- istoricheskoy nauki: shag v XXI vek. Abstracts of Papers of the International Conference* (pp. 236-2348). Moscow [in Russian].
9. **Hamanko, R.R.** (2018) Problema rozvytku vyshchoi dukhovnoi osvity pochatku XX st. u suchasni ukrainskii istoriohrafii [The problem of development of higher spiritual education in the early XX century. in modern Ukrainian historiography]. *Historiography as an important component of research on the history of education: European and domestic dimensions: Abstracts of Papers of the International Conference / DNPB Ukrainy im. V. O. Sukhomlyns'koho* [redkol.: Berezivska L. D. (holova redkol.), Lapaienko S. V., Sukhomlynska O. V., Tarnavska S. V.; lit. red. Derevianko T. M.]. Kyiv: [DNPB Ukrainy im. V. O. Sukhomlyns'koho], 32. [in Ukrainian].
 10. **Bielov, D. M.** (2012) *Paradyhma ukrainskoho konstytutsionalizmu* [The paradigm of Ukrainian constitutionalism]. (*Doctor's thesis*). Kharkiv [in Ukrainian].
 11. **Voloshyn, Yu. O.** (2010) *Konstytutsiyno-pravove zabezpechennia yevropeys'koi mizhderzhavnoi intehratsii: problemy teorii i praktyky* [Constitutional and legal support of European interstate integration: problems of theory and practice] (*Extended abstract of Doctor's thesis*). Kyiv. [in Ukrainian].
 12. **Krusian, A. R.** (2010) *Suchasnyy ukrainskyy konstytutsionalizm: teoriia i praktyka* [Modern Ukrainian constitutionalism: theory and practice] (*Extended abstract of Doctor's thesis*). Odesa. [in Ukrainian].
 13. **Kruss, V. I.** (2000) Lichnostnyie («somaticheskie») prava cheloveka v konstitutsionnom i filosofsko-pravovom izmerenii: k postanovke problemyi [Personal ("somatic") human rights in the constitutional and philosophical and legal dimensions: to the problem statement]. *Gosudarstvo i pravo - State and law*, 10, 43-50. [in Russian].
 14. **Turianskyi, Yu. I.** (2020) *Somatychni prava liudyny v suchasni doktryni konstytutsionalizmu: teoretyko-pravove doslidzhennia* [Somatic human rights in the modern doctrine of constitutionalism]. (*Doctor's thesis*). [in Ukrainian].
 15. **Lavryk, M. A.** (2006) Konstytutsyonnye osnovanyia somaticheskikh prav cheloveka: voprosy teoryi y praktyka zarubezhnykh hosudarstv: voprosy konstytutsyonnoho prava [Constitutional foundations of somatic human rights: issues of theory and practice of foreign states: issues of constitutional law]. *Sybyrskyy yurydycheskyy vestnyk - Siberian Legal Bulletin*, 1(28). 44-62. [in Russian].
 16. **Bysaha, Yu. M., Bielov, D. M., Hromovchuk, M. V. & Kozodaiev, S. P.** (2018) *Zakhyst konstytutsiinykh prav i svobod liudyny u protsesi provedennia biomedychnykh doslidzen. Monohrafiia* [Protection of constitutional human rights and freedoms in the process of conducting biomedical research. Monograph]. Uzhhorod [in Ukrainian].

РОЗРОБКА ТЕОРЕТИКО-ПРАВОВИХ ПІДХОДІВ ДО РОЗУМІННЯ СУТНОСТІ СОМАТИЧНИХ ПРАВ ЛЮДИНИ В ПРОЦЕСІ БІОМЕДИЧНИХ ДОСЛІДЖЕНЬ

Дмитро Белов,

професор кафедри конституційного права та порівняльного правознавства Ужгородського національного університету,

доктор юридичних наук, професор

ORCID 0000-0002-7168-9488

belov_dimon@yahoo.com

Мирослава Громовчук,

доцент кафедри конституційного права та порівняльного правознавства Ужгородського національного університету,

кандидат юридичних наук, доцент

ORCID 0000-0003-2077-2342

myroslavabelova@gmail.com

Анотація.

Вказується, теоретичні уявлення про відносини людини та сфери біомедичних досліджень неминуче будуть охоплювати аспекти, які не зводяться до предмета конституційно-правового регулювання. Відповідно

методологічно невиправданим було б обмежувати уявлення про реалізацію соматичних прав громадян лише аналізом норм конституції. Сутність реалізації соматичних прав громадян у процесі біомедичних досліджень як важливого елемента в розвитку кожного суспільства та відповідно однієї з категорій конституційного права визначає необхідність розгляду їх з позицій онтології, гносеології та аксіології права. Таким чином, дослідження цих досить складних відносин неминує передбачає визначення підходів до їхнього пізнання, дослідження порядку та принципів їх реалізації та захисту, соціально-правового «буття», аналіз тих цінностей, які набувають політико-правове вираження.

Визначено, задля створення надійного сучасного наукового фундаменту розуміння сутності соматичних прав людини у процесі біомедичних досліджень доцільним вбачається історичний екскурс у специфіку дослідження того чи іншого правового питання конституційно-правових засад закріплення окремих соматичних права, виділення певних пріоритетів дослідження, формулювання доктринальних положень, на підставі яких і формувалося раніше діюче та чинне законодавство. Це дозволить виділити певні тенденції розвитку як правової доктрини, так і нормотворчої діяльності, результатом якої є докорінне вдосконалення чинного законодавства України, у тому числі й кодифікованого змісту, окреслити певні проблемні питання із одночасним з'ясуванням шляхів їх вирішення у різні історичні періоди із тим, щоб уникнути в майбутньому негативного та запозичити позитивний досвід.

Автори стверджують, на основі аналізу праць теоретиків держави та права, конституціоналістів, вчених, що безпосередньо займаються вивченням соматичних прав людини, вчених-релігійних діячів можна зробити висновок про те, що історіографія соматичних прав людини в процесі біомедичних досліджень в широкому розумінні – це сфера наукових знань, яка вивчає розвиток конституційно-правової науки та її закономірності; у вузькому – це сукупність напрацювань із різних проблем історії сучасного конституціоналізму, прав людини, впливу релігії на права людини та механізм їх реалізації та захисту у певний історичний період.

У міру ускладнення суспільних взаємовідносин, питання біомедичних досліджень нерідко стали розглядатися як складова частина медичного права – нової галузі, що поетапно формується в сучасній Україні. У зв'язку з цим автором були вивчені питання побудови системи права, а також проблеми комплексного правового регулювання проведення біомедичних досліджень, які отримали висвітлення в працях А. Билиці, С. Болдіжар, С. Булеци, П. Вітте, Н. Кашканової, О. Кашицевої, С. Козодаєва, А. Концовенко, А. Куліша, Ю. Кундієва, М. Менджул, С. Пустовіт, І. Сенюти, Ю. Турянського, Е. Труханової та ін.

При цьому, метою роботи є вивчення конституційно-правових засад та впливу різних факторів на механізм реалізації соматичних прав в процесі біомедичних досліджень.

Методологічну основу дослідження склали світоглядний діалектичний, загальнонауковий та конкретно-наукові методи пізнання явищ державно-правової дійсності. Так, зокрема, формально-логічні методи аналізу та синтезу дозволили розкрити зміст понять, які складають предмет дослідження, провести їх класифікацію, а також сформулювати проміжні та загальні висновки. Системний метод дозволив дослідити роль та значення соматичних прав людини серед інших прав і свобод людини і громадянина. За допомогою історичного методу було проаналізовано доктринальну базу дослідження, а також визначено основні етапи формування біомедичних досліджень за участю людини. Структурно-функціональний метод дозволив з'ясувати внутрішню будову механізму конституційно-правового забезпечення соматичних прав і свобод людини і громадянина в процесі проведення біомедичних досліджень, а також визначити функціональне призначення кожного з елементів цього механізму. Зміст нормативно-правових приписів, що регулюють суспільні відносини, в межах яких здійснюється організація та функціонування механізму захисту соматичних прав людини в процесі проведення біомедичних досліджень, був розкритий за допомогою спеціально-юридичного методу пізнання. Компаративістський метод дозволив визначити схожі риси та відмінності у конституційно-правовому регулюванні механізму захисту соматичних прав людини в процесі проведення біомедичних досліджень.

Ключові слова: права та свободи людини, права людини четвертого покоління, соматичні права людини, історіографія, правова доктрина, конституціоналізм.

